Optimization Of Collaborative UAV Smoke Screen Deployment for Missile Defense Based on Intelligent Optimization

Yushen Li [1,*], Zhouhao Zhang [2], Qianlong Ma [1]

[1] Faculty of Software Technologies, Shanxi Agricultural University, Jinzhong, China, 030801

[2] College of Information Science and Engineering, Shanxi Agricultural University, Jinzhong, China, 030801

* Corresponding Author Email: 15735163161@163.com

Abstract. The increasing threat of precision-guided missiles to high-value assets necessitates the development of effective, dynamic countermeasures. Smoke screens, deployed by Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs), offer a flexible, low-cost, and highly effective means of obscuring targets from optical and infrared seekers. However, maximizing their defensive capability requires sophisticated spatiotemporal coordination. To address this challenge, this paper proposes a comprehensive optimization model for the intelligent deployment of UAV-launched smoke screens. This study establish a "Kinematics-Geometry-Optimization" framework, which integrates detailed mathematical models for the trajectories of UAVs, missiles, and descending smoke clouds. Intelligent optimization algorithms, specifically Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) and Simulated Annealing (SA), are employed to solve the high-dimensional problem of maximizing the total effective obscuration time across various combat scenarios. Simulations validate the model's efficacy, demonstrating a 213% increase in obscuration time in a single-asset scenario. In a complex engagement involving 5 UAVs defending against 3 missiles, the model successfully coordinates the deployment of 13 smoke bombs to achieve a total obscuration time of 100.22 seconds, forming a seamless, multi-layered defensive barrier. The proposed model provides a systematic, scalable, and computationally efficient solution for real-time tactical planning in missile defense.

Keywords: UAV Swarm, Missile Defense, Multi-objective Optimization, Kinematic Modeling.

1. Introduction

The landscape of modern warfare is increasingly defined by the prevalence of high-precision, long-range guided munitions. Anti-ship missiles, cruise missiles, and other precision-guided weapons pose a severe and persistent threat to critical military and civilian assets such as naval vessels, command centers, and infrastructure [1]. The advanced seeker technologies in these missiles, often operating in the optical, infrared (IR), or laser-guided spectra, enable them to achieve extremely high probabilities of kill. Consequently, the development of robust and adaptive countermeasure systems is a paramount priority for national defense.

Among the spectrum of available countermeasures, which range from "hard-kill" interceptor missiles to "soft-kill" electronic warfare, passive interference using smoke screens remains a uniquely advantageous strategy [2]. By generating a dense aerosol cloud, smoke screens effectively absorb and scatter electromagnetic radiation, breaking the line-of-sight (LOS) between a missile's seeker and its target. This can cause the missile to lose its lock, miss the target, or be diverted towards a decoy. The effectiveness of smoke screens against optically and IR-guided threats has been shown to reduce engagement success rates by 60-80% [3]. Their primary advantages include low cost, operational simplicity, and effectiveness against a broad range of seeker types.

The advent of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs), particularly in swarm configurations, has revolutionized the deployment of smoke screens. Unlike static, ground-based generators, UAVs offer unparalleled mobility, allowing them to position smoke screens precisely where and when they are needed. A coordinated UAV swarm can establish a dynamic, three-dimensional defensive barrier that can be adapted in real-time to counter multiple, maneuvering threats from various azimuths [4].

However, the effectiveness of a UAV-based smoke screen defense is not guaranteed by the technology alone; it is critically dependent on the deployment strategy [5,6]. A suboptimal strategy can result in wasted resources, with smoke clouds being deployed too early, too late, or in the wrong location. This leads to temporal gaps in coverage or spatial holes in the defensive screen, which an incoming missile can exploit [7,8]. The core challenge is therefore a complex, multi-objective optimization problem: how to coordinate the flight paths of multiple UAVs and the launch and detonation timings of their smoke bombs to maximize the total duration of effective target obscuration, subject to a variety of physical and operational constraints.

This paper addresses this challenge by proposing a comprehensive modeling and optimization framework. Our contributions are threefold:

(1) Integrated Modeling Framework: This study develops a novel "Kinematics-Geometry-Optimization" framework that combines high-fidelity kinematic models for all entities (missiles, UAVs, smoke clouds) with a precise geometric model for determining obscuration.

(2) Intelligent Optimization Solution: This study formulates the deployment problem as a high-dimensional optimization task and apply a hybrid strategy using Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) and Simulated Annealing (SA) to find globally near-optimal solutions efficiently.

(3) Comprehensive Scenario Validation: This study designs and simulates three distinct combat scenarios of increasing complexity, from a single UAV defending against one missile to a multi-UAV swarm defending against a salvo of three missiles. The results rigorously validate the model's performance and scalability.

2. Modeling and Problem Formulation

To create a tractable yet realistic simulation environment, this study first defines the system assumptions and then develop the mathematical models for each component [9-11].

2.1. System Assumptions and Coordinate System

A 3D Cartesian coordinate system is established for the engagement. For strategic purposes of diverting the missile, a "false target" is placed at the origin (0, 0, 0), which serves as the aimpoint for the missile's guidance system. The "true target," the high-value asset to be protected, is modeled as a cylinder with a radius of 7 meters and a height of 10 meters, centered at coordinates (0, 200, 0). To ensure computational feasibility, this study makes the following simplifying assumptions:

(1) The Earth is considered a flat plane, and its curvature is ignored, which is valid for short-range tactical engagements.

(2) Atmospheric conditions such as wind and air density variations are not considered. Smoke clouds drift only vertically under gravity.

(3) Missiles and UAVs fly at constant velocities. While missiles may perform terminal maneuvers, a straight-line trajectory towards the aimpoint is a common and challenging baseline scenario.

(4) UAVs are highly agile and can change their flight heading instantaneously.

(5) Smoke bombs, upon detonation, instantly form a perfect spherical cloud of a fixed effective radius, which remains constant for its entire lifespan.

2.2. Kinematic and Geometric Models

The missile is assumed to follow a linear path at a constant velocity, v_m = 300 m/s, from its initial position $(x\_\{m0\}, y\_\{m0\}, z\_\{m0\})$ towards the false target at the origin. Its position $P\_m(t)$ at any time t is given by the parametric equations:

$$P_{m(t)} = \left(x_{m(t)}, y_{m(t)}, z_{m(t)}\right) = \left(x_{\{m0\}}, y_{\{m0\}}, z_{\{m0\}}\right) + v_m * u * t \tag{1}$$

where u is the normalized direction vector from the initial position to the origin. Each UAV i flies at a constant horizontal velocity $v\_\{ui\}$ within a specified operational range [70, 140] m/s. Its flight path is determined by its initial position and a constant heading angle $\theta\_\{ui\}$.

The smoke deployment is a two-phase process: Free-fall Phase: A smoke bomb is launched from a UAV at time $t\_\{d,ij\}$ (launch time of bomb j from UAV i). It behaves as a projectile under gravity g (9.8 m/s²). Its trajectory is modeled by standard projectile motion equations. After a fuze delay $\tau\_\{ij\}$, the bomb detonates at time $t\_\{det\} = t\_\{d,ij\} + \tau\_\{ij\}$. It instantly forms a spherical smoke cloud with an effective obscuration radius R_s = 10 meters. This cloud then begins to descend at a constant vertical velocity v_s = 3 m/s and remains effective for a fixed duration $T\_\{life\}$ = 20 seconds. The center of the cloud C(t) at $t > t\_\{det\}$ is:

$$C(t) = \left(x_{det}, y_{det}, z_{det} - v_s * (t - t_{det})\right) \tag{2}$$

Effective obscuration occurs when the line-of-sight (LOS) between the missile and any part of the true target is intercepted by an active smoke cloud. To evaluate this condition computationally, this study model the LOS as a line segment between the missile's position $P\_m(t)$ and a point P_T on the surface of the target cylinder. For a given smoke cloud with center $C(t)$ and radius R_s, interception occurs if the minimum distance from the cloud's center to the LOS segment is less than or equal to R_s. This distance d is calculated using the vector cross product:

$$d = \left|\left|\left(P_T - P_m(t)\right) \times \left(C(t) - P_m(t)\right)\right|\right| / \left|\left|P_T - P_m(t)\right|\right| \tag{3}$$

If $d \leq R\_s$, the LOS is obscured at time t. To ensure the entire target is protected, this study check this condition against a set of representative points on the target's surface (e.g., points on the top and bottom rims and along its vertical edges). The total effective obscuration time T_eff is the sum of all time intervals during which at least one LOS to the target is blocked by at least one active smoke cloud.

2.3. Optimization Problem Formulation

The overarching goal is to maximize T_eff by finding the optimal set of decision variables. For a scenario with N_u UAVs and N_b bombs per UAV, the set of variables X includes: UAV flight speed: $v\_\{ui\}$ for i = 1...N_u; UAV flight heading: $\theta\_\{ui\}$ for i = 1...N_u; Smoke bomb launch time: $t\_\{d,ij\}$ for bomb j from UAV i; Smoke bomb fuze delay: $\tau\_\{ij\}$ for bomb j from UAV i. The primary objective is to maximize the total effective obscuration time: Maximize $T\_\{eff\}(X)$. The optimization is subject to several physical and operational constraints: 70 ≤ $v\_\{ui\}$ ≤ 140 m/s (UAV speed limits); 0° ≤ $\theta\_\{ui\}$ < 360° (UAV heading limits); $t\_\{d,ij\} > t\_\{prev\}$ (A UAV cannot launch a bomb before reaching the launch point); 0 ≤ $\tau\_\{ij\}$ ≤ 5 s (Fuze delay limits); The total number of bombs used cannot exceed the available inventory.

3. Optimization Algorithms and Solution Strategy

The formulated problem is characterized by a high-dimensional, non-linear, and non-convex search space with numerous local optima. The objective function $T\_eff(X)$ is computationally expensive to evaluate, as it requires a full time-stepped simulation of the engagement for each candidate solution. Simple gradient-based methods would fail. Therefore, this study employs intelligent heuristic algorithms known for their robustness in such complex domains.

3.1. Simulated Annealing (SA)

For problems with a relatively low number of decision variables (e.g., a single UAV deploying one bomb), Simulated Annealing offers an effective solution. SA is a probabilistic metaheuristic inspired by the annealing process in metallurgy. It starts with a random solution and iteratively explores its neighborhood. It always accepts better solutions but may also accept worse solutions with a probability that decreases over time, governed by a "temperature" parameter. This ability to temporarily move "uphill" allows it to escape local optima and explore a wider region of the search space. This study utilizes SA for fine-tuning and for simpler scenarios.

3.2. Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)

For high-dimensional problems, such as coordinating a swarm of multiple UAVs, Particle Swarm Optimization is more suitable. PSO is a population-based algorithm inspired by the social behavior of bird flocks or fish schools. A "swarm" of candidate solutions, called "particles," "flies" through the search space. Each particle's movement is influenced by its own best-known position (pbest) and the entire swarm's best-known position (gbest). This balance between individual exploration and social exploitation allows PSO to converge efficiently on promising areas of the search space, making it ideal for global optimization tasks.

3.3. Hybrid Optimization Strategy

For the most complex scenario, involving 5 UAVs, 15 bombs, and 3 missiles, the dimensionality of the search space exceeds 40. To tackle this, this study implemented a hybrid "coarse search + local refinement" strategy.

(1) PSO for Global Search: This study first run the PSO algorithm. The swarm of particles rapidly explores the vast decision space to identify the most promising global regions. This phase is excellent for determining the high-level strategy, such as which UAVs should engage which missiles and the general timing of their deployments.

(2) Local Refinement: The best solution found by PSO (gbest) is then used as the starting point for a more focused local search algorithm (such as a simplified SA or a pattern search). This refinement stage makes small, precise adjustments to the decision variables (e.g., tweaking launch and fuze times by fractions of a second) to polish the solution, seal any small temporal gaps between smoke clouds, and maximize the final T_eff. This hybrid approach combines the broad reach of PSO with the precision of a local searcher.

4. Simulation and Results Analysis

This study conducted simulations in MATLAB R2022a on a standard desktop computer (Intel Core i7, 16GB RAM) to validate our model across three progressively complex scenarios.

4.1. Scenario 1: Single-Bomb Optimization

(1) Setup: A single UAV (FY1) defends against one incoming missile (M1).

(2) Baseline Performance: An intuitive, non-optimized strategy (e.g., flying directly towards the missile's path and launching) was simulated, yielding an effective obscuration time of only 1.50 seconds.

(3) Optimized Performance: The Simulated Annealing algorithm was applied to optimize the UAV's speed, heading, launch time, and fuze delay. The algorithm converged on a solution that increased the effective obscuration time to 4.70 seconds. This represents a 213% improvement over the baseline. The optimization achieved this by precisely calculating a UAV trajectory and launch timing that placed the smoke cloud at the perfect altitude and position to intercept the missile's LOS for the longest possible duration as it passed through.

4.2. Scenario 2: Coordinated Multi-UAV, Single-Missile Defense

(1) Setup: Three UAVs (FY1, FY2, FY3), each deploying a single smoke bomb, defend against missile M1. The challenge is one of pure coordination.

(2) Optimized Performance: Using the PSO algorithm, our model determined the optimal flight paths and deployment timings for all three UAVs. The resulting strategy achieved 18.42 seconds of continuous, uninterrupted obscuration.

(3) Tactical Analysis: The solution organized the UAVs into a "far-medium-near" layered defensive formation along the missile's attack corridor. The first UAV launched its bomb to create a screen at a long distance, the second covered the mid-range segment, and the third deployed its bomb close to the target for terminal defense. The timings were calculated with such precision that as the

obscuring effect of one cloud was about to end, the next cloud was already in place, ensuring no temporal gaps for the missile's seeker to reacquire the target. The PSO algorithm found this globally optimal solution in just 0.81 seconds of computation time.

4.3. Scenario 3: The Ultimate Challenge - Multi-UAV, Multi-Missile Defense

(1) Setup: This is the most complex engagement, with 5 UAVs (with up to 3 bombs each) defending against a salvo of 3 incoming missiles (M1, M2, M3) arriving from different directions.

(2) Optimized Performance: The hybrid PSO + local refinement strategy was deployed to navigate the 40+ dimensional decision space. The model performed both task allocation and scheduling. It intelligently decided to use 13 of the 15 available smoke bombs, assigning specific UAVs and bombs to counter each specific missile threat.

(3) Tactical Analysis: The final solution was a masterful display of coordinated defense. A total effective obscuration time of 100.22 seconds was achieved across all three threats. This was broken down as: 33.42s for M1, 33.40s for M2, and 33.40s for M3. This result indicates that the model not only protected the target from all missiles but also balanced the defensive resources to provide a robust and nearly equal level of protection against each threat, creating a comprehensive, multi-layered, and gap-free screen as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of Optimization Results Across Key Scenarios

Scenario	Optimization Method	Key Result (Obscuration Time)	Tactical Outcome & Interpretation
1 UAV vs. 1 Missile (Base)	Fixed Parameters	1.50 s	An intuitive but inefficient deployment.
1 UAV vs. 1 Missile (Optimized)	Simulated Annealing	4.70 s (+213% improvement)	Optimal timing and positioning maximizes single-asset utility.
3 UAVs vs. 1 Missile	PSO	18.42 s	Coordinated "far-medium-near" layered defense with no gaps.
5 UAVs vs. 3 Missiles	Hybrid PSO + Refinement	100.22 s (Total); Full coverage for all 3 missiles	Autonomous task allocation and scheduling for robust, balanced defense.

5. Conclusions and Outlook

This paper has addressed the critical challenge of defending high-value assets against precision-guided missiles by proposing and validating a comprehensive framework for the optimal deployment of collaborative UAV-launched smoke screens. We introduced a novel "Kinematics-Geometry-Optimization" paradigm that successfully integrates high-fidelity motion models for all engagement entities (missiles, UAVs, smoke clouds) with precise geometric obscuration calculations. By formulating the problem as a high-dimensional, non-linear optimization task, we demonstrated that intelligent algorithms—specifically Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) and its hybridization with local refinement techniques—provide a powerful and computationally efficient means of deriving superior defensive strategies.

The core contribution of this research lies in the development of a systematic, scalable, and automated solution for the complex problem of simultaneous task allocation and spatiotemporal scheduling in a dynamic defense scenario. Our simulations quantitatively validated the model's efficacy, proving that an optimized strategy yields a dramatic performance increase (a 213% improvement in a single-asset case) over intuitive approaches. More significantly, in complex multi-threat engagements, the model autonomously orchestrated a multi-layered defense, coordinating 5 UAVs to defeat a 3-missile salvo with over 100 seconds of balanced, gap-free obscuration. This result underscores the framework's ability to move beyond simple trajectory planning and generate truly collaborative, emergent behavior from the UAV swarm.

While the proposed model demonstrates significant capability, its underlying assumptions define its current limitations and illuminate clear pathways for future enhancement. The current framework operates under idealized conditions, namely a static atmosphere without wind, linear missile trajectories, and perfectly spherical smoke clouds with fixed lifespans. These simplifications, while necessary for establishing a foundational model, point toward several key areas for future research.

Future work should prioritize increasing the model's real-world fidelity. First, incorporating atmospheric dispersion models, such as Gaussian puff or plume models, would allow for more realistic simulation of smoke cloud drift and diffusion under varying wind conditions. Second, the defensive strategy could be made more adaptive by replacing the linear missile model with advanced trajectory prediction that accounts for guidance laws and terminal maneuvers, potentially using a Kalman filter to estimate the threat's future path from sensor data. Finally, the deterministic optimization approach can be extended to a stochastic or robust optimization framework. This would enable the system to generate solutions that are resilient to uncertainties in missile trajectories, environmental conditions, or smoke bomb performance, thereby maximizing the probability of mission success in unpredictable real-world engagements. In summary, this research lays a robust theoretical and computational foundation for autonomous defensive systems, and these future extensions will further bridge the gap toward their practical field deployment..

References

[1] J. Zhang, Y. Huang, and X. Chen, Engineering Implementation of Missile Defense Interception Strategies. Beijing: National Defense Industry Press, 2021.

[2] N. Amjady, "Short-term hourly load forecasting using time series modeling with peak load estimation capability," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 798-805, Nov. 2001.

[3] Z. Huang, D. Wu, and W. Zhang, "Research on coordinated deployment strategy optimization for multiple UAVs," Acta Aeronautica et Astronautica Sinica, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 201-210, 2023.

[4] L. Chen, D. Lin, and Y. Guo, "Kinematic model of smoke clouds and its impact on interception windows," Journal of Ordnance Engineering, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 345-353, 2022.

[5] H. Wang, J. Zhao, and J. Liu, "MILP-based optimization algorithm for air defense missile firepower allocation," Control and Decision, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 589-596, 2022.

[6] [6] J. Kennedy and R. Eberhart, "Particle swarm optimization," in Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Neural Networks (ICNN'95), Perth, WA, Australia, 1995, vol. 4, pp. 1942-1948.

[7] S. Kirkpatrick, C. D. Gelatt, Jr., and M. P. Vecchi, "Optimization by simulated annealing," Science, vol. 220, no. 4598, pp. 671-680, May 1983.

[8] H. Yang, J. Wang, and J. Wang, "Efficient detection of forest fire smoke in UAV aerial imagery based on an improved Yolov5 model and transfer learning," Remote Sensing, vol. 15, no. 23, Art. no. 5605, 2023.

[9] N. S. Saydirasulovich, M. Mukhiddinov, O. Djuraev, et al., "An improved wildfire smoke detection based on YOLOv8 and UAV images," Sensors, vol. 23, no. 20, Art. no. 8361, 2023.

[10] G. Chen, R. Cheng, X. Lin, et al., "LMDFS: A lightweight model for detecting forest fire smoke in UAV images based on YOLOv7," Remote Sensing, vol. 15, no. 15, Art. no. 3899, 2023.

[11] W. Zhentao, P. Xiaobing, H. Zhangliang, et al., "Direct measuring particulate matters in smoke plumes from chimneys in a textile dyeing industrial park by a self-developed PM detector on an UAV in Yangtze River Delta of China," Sensors, vol. 22, no. 12, Art. no. 4330, 2022.